G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1903-04
(Salaries and Allowances)

File No. 688 of 03-04

Serial Nos. 1 to 2

(1)

Papers Filed.

Month _____

SUBJECT.

Memorial of Ramalammal, widow of the late Mr. V. Rungacharier, Assistant Commissioner, praying for a Special Gratuity or Pension.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	S & A 689 of 1903-04.
	Mis 2406 (679)

and [illegible] to be relieved from of their present precarious condition, trouble and misfortune.

I remain
Yours
C Rajagopalachariar

Subd
a

This letter shews that the widow and the mother of the late Mr Rangachari are both in very indigent circumstances.

18. XI. '03

Bangalore
18 Nov 1903

My dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your kind note for which please accept my best thanks.

The letter alludes to a painful subject, but I trust my information will enable you to grant the help sought for. Without mincing matters, I beg to inform you that my poor Cousin Rangachari died penniless.

He insured his life for
eight or Ten Thousand Rupees
and his unfortunate widow
has to meet the demands on
the executors to the extent of
about Twelve Thousand Rupees
and I do not know how
the two Ends will meet.

The widow has absolutely
no property except a small
land and this miserable income
to Satisfy Some petty credi-
tors. She is therefore Entirely
helpless and will therefore
throw herself upon the
Government.

Ramjethani is now old
in years is at present living
in a village with his sister
and her cost is not
more than Ten Rupees
a month. Any help in their
direction will be gratefully
received and remembered.

I may add that
the deceased family consists
by Parents whom the Governor
in the Deccan Paid

The grant of suitable hon-
-ourable gratuities
to the dependents of deceased
officials of this State has
been under the considera-
-tion of Govt. for several
years past - The question
is a most difficult one,
and the Comptroller has
not yet been able to
give us a definite
opinion - In the mean-
-time such cases
are multiplying - The
present is a most
deplorable case - I
beg to recommend
that a pension of
Rupees 50 (fifty) be
sanctioned in favor
of the deceased's widow -
I submit that this
is within the accordance
with rules or precedent-
-or quite justified by
the special rules we
suggested a few years ago and which
Govt. seemed to be disposed
to accept. I submit
that the case is one
deserving of the

Generosity of His Highness—

C

25/11/03

[a typed copy of the
above note of the Second
Comrades is enclosed]

EDL

4

Re the application of the widow of the late Mr. V.Runga Chari, for some gratuity or pension.

.....

The grant of suitable pensions or gratuities to the bereaved families of officials of this kind has been under the consideration of Government for several years past. The question is a most difficult one to decide and the Comptroller has not yet been able to give us a definite opinion. In the meantime, such cases are multiplying. The present is a most deplorable case. I beg to recommend that a pension of Rs. 50 (fifty) be sanctioned in favor of the deceased's widow. I admit that this is neither in accordance with rules or precedent nor quite justified by the special rules we suggested a few years ago and which yet remain to be passed. I submit that the case is one deserving of the generosity of His Highness.

"Intld" C.S.

25/11/03.

Submitted to the Dewan

25/11/3.

Calculate the pension we have given due to the late husband

27/11/3

14284
26.11.03

5

Mr V. Rungachari entered the Service of the State on the 1st August 1896 as Munsiff and died on the 6th June 1903 as Extra Asst Commr. He thus rendered a total Service of 6 years, ten months and 6 days. During this period, he was on Sick leave for 28 days from 25th July 1898 and on privilege leave for three months just before his death; declining the former kind of leave which is not counted either towards pension or gratuity, the total qualifying Service rendered by the deceased amounts to 6-9-8. for which he would have been entitled to a gratuity of Rs 1500/- (Rs 250×6), but not to any pension. (art: 320).

Subt for orders. In Special cases, however, the Government may grant a higher amount.

3/12/03

4/12

4/12/03

To the Dewan.

14516
5.12.03

059 bis

I should be obliged if Mr I could kindly favour me with his opinion in this matter. I am not sure that the widow is entitled to more than what the husband would have himself received

Submitted to the Secretary

8/12/13

11 DEC 1903
Chairmans
397

May I most respectfully request to be informed whether this question is a Scheduled or non Scheduled matter and under what Rule my opinion is requested

12/12/1903.

17/12/13.

16184
If it were a scheduled matter I cannot make it one. If on the other hand it is a scheduled matter I cannot take it away from it. I might the Counsel's opinion would help me in forming my opinion also. This is a case I can say no more in the matter & leave further action to the Counsel

18.XII.03

14743
17.12.03

To the 1st. Commissioner

12 DEC 1903
night.
431

13/12 May I suggest that the question be brought before a Meeting when I will submit what I think

S

Submitted to His Highness.

I am not aware of any special circumstances in this case which would justify my making any recommendation to His Highness - Mangammal mother of the deceased has also sent up an application for help.

25.1.04

B

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

26.1.04
1372

Subject.

Memorial of Kamalammal, widow of the late Mr. V. Rangacharier, Assistant Commissioner, praying for a special gratuity or pension.

28/1/04
1477

Order.

The Case is no doubt a hard one, but I agree with the Dewan that there are no grounds for Special treatment.
In this as in many other Cases the object of our Insurance System has been defeated by the officer Concerned Contracting debts which more than Cover the amount of his insurance.

KRM
27/1/04

28/1/04

I.A. 106

1903 26-XI-03

SCN-

Register and Subregister

Secretary SJA 6/9

1 Dy S.R.

6/9/03

there is no rule or precedent in which this request can be based.

Submitted for orders

26/9/3

To the Dewan.

No. 705

Name of Petitioner V. Ramalammal widow of the late Mr V. Rangaiah Extra assistant Comr - Mayavaram

Village Sholavaram

Taluk Tirumani

District Chengalpat

Date of Arzi 14-9-03

Date of Receipt 16-9-03

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

13213
29.9.03

Written to messrs Rajagopalachariar & Rangasamy Iyengar for information as to the widow's circumstances in life at present

Secy
10.11.03

05163

Should obtain orders of the Dewan

Secy there is no precedent or rule applicable to it

23.11.03

ABSTRACT

States that her husband Mr V. Rangachari who died on 6/6/03 belonged to a respectable family in Madras; that his father and his uncle, the late Mr V. Ramanujachari C.S.I. held a Deputy Collector's Ship and Dewan's Ship respectively; that he was also related to the late Mr C. Rangachariar C.I.E.; that petitioner's husband was a schoolmate and playmate of H. late Highness the Maharaja Sri Chamarajendra Wadeyar Bahadur who advised him to enter Mysore

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

24/11/3.

Submitted for orders

24/11

515
24-11-03

37 24/11/03

Service; that the whole
nation & the Service will
hope & pray very hard in the
State Service; that he may
not [illegible] to speak & the
goal of freedom on one of the
[illegible] & death; that the
Lord that I may walk with us
ashes to the dust left
by the Lord; that [illegible]
[illegible] in his hand & name this month
of August!! Still they are
nice to the cats as they are
[illegible] attached to the
[illegible]; that the Lord has no
means to maintain herself to
[illegible] to which we are used
in connection with [illegible]
death.

Keep that, as I have said
a [illegible] time, hands in the
[illegible] & [illegible] in a mystic
[illegible] in the [illegible]
[illegible] Laughing

By Post registered
Cover addressed to
"The Private Secretary"
16-9-03

Rd. & submitted to Secretary

To

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 705R
DATE 16-9-03

His Highness

Sri Krishnarajendra Wodayar Bahadur

Maharajah of Mysore.

Through The Dewan of Mysore.

May it please your Highness.

The humble memorial of

705 V. Kamalammal widow of the late Mr. V. Rangacharlar B.A. B.L. Retd Assistant Commissioner, Mysore,

most respectfully showeth: —.

1. That your memorialist's husband, who died in Bangalore on the 6th June 1903, at the early age of 40 years, belonged to a respectable family in Madras. His father held a Deputy Collectorship under the Madras Government and his Uncle the late Mr. V. Rama aiyangar C.S.I. held the Dewanship of the Travancore State. He was also related to the late Mr. C. Rangacharlu C.I.E. whose name is a household word in Mysore.

2. That your memorialist's husband was a Schoolmate and playmate of your Highness illustrious father His Highness Sri Chamaraja Wodayar Bahadur who was much attached to him and consequently advised him to enter Mysore Service: and acting under a sense of duty and A under such distinguished patronage, he entered that Service with full hopes to rise very high in the ladder of the State Service, even leaving his fair practice in the Madras High Court.

3. That your Highness memorialist's husband was ever unfortunate.

fortunate enough to realize the goal of his expectations owing to his untimely and premature death. He was not destined to enjoy even for a day his new appointment of Law Reporter to your Highness Government which your Highness was graciously pleased to Confer on him during his last days. God having ordained that your Highness Memorialist should share this miserable lot.

4. That your Memorialist's husband has left behind him a young widow of about 21 years, the Memorialist, and a poor old mother of about 60 years with no other to lean upon, nay, even without a house to live in. He has also left a daughter by his first wife.

5. That your Memorialist had to perform the funeral Ceremonies of her husband with very great difficulty by disposing of his carriage & horse and some furniture.

6. That your Memorialist is left not only a poor forlorn widow, but has also to answer, with no assets, for the debts left by her husband which Could not be ascertained, the number of creditors daily increasing.

7. That though there are two insurance policies in the name of her husband to the amount of Rs 8000, still they are practically of no use to your Memorialist, inasmuchas the same has not been assigned to her and stand in her husband's name and are therefore liable to be attached by the creditors to whom, as far as can be ascertained hitherto, a greater amount is due and that your Memorialist is also unable even to spend Rs 300 to obtain the letters of Administration necessary to authenticate her to draw the amount from the Company.

8. That your Memorialist has not only no means to maintain herself but has also to perform the Monthly ceremonies in Connection with her husband's death for which she has none to help.

9. That your Memorialist, having led rather a single State of life for the past 10 years and having none to support her in this pitiable state, can find no other means for her maintenance than applying to your Highness Mercy and therefore prays that your Highness will be gracious enough to take pity on this poor and forlorn widow and grant as a special case some sums in the shape of gratuity or a monthly pension throughout her life to enable her to maintain herself in her cursed life of widowhood, young as she is. She bases this prayer on the valuable and spotless Service of her husband for nearly 7 years in the Mysore State and on there being no other person to assist her at this crisis.

Taking into Consideration the generosity and readiness with which they Mysore Government has assisted a few widows before, who have been in a decidedly better condition than herself, the Memorialist ventures to submit this memorial fully expecting some substantial help from the Government of Mysore at an early date for which she will be ever praying for the welfare of ~~his~~ Your Highness and the Mysore Government and will be grateful throughout her life.

In Submitting this appeal for mercy, your Highness Memorialist begs that your Highness may be pleased to take into Consideration the regard entertained by your Highness illustrious father to your Memorialist's husband during

during his early days as a student of the Lake Royal School and latterly when he was a Law practitioner in Madras.

Humbly soliciting your Highness's favorable Consideration of this memorial

Srikanaram
14.9.03

V. కమలమ్మాళ్

V. కమలమ్మాళ్.

(Sd) V. Kamalammal
C/o R. Vedantachariar
Revenue Inspector
Srikanaram Firka
Ponneri Taluk.
Chingleput Dt.

2 Dft 19

No. Pda 1525 Gl No 2

Gl and Rev: Secretariat

~~MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE~~,

Pda. BRANCH.

Dated Bangalore 8th Febry 1904.

Endorsement granted to V. Kamalammal widow of the late Asst comm^r Mr. V. Rangacharlar c/o Mr R Vedanta Acharlar Revenue Inspector, Sholanaram Firka, Ponneri Taluk, Chingleput Dt.

in reply to her Petition Registered No. 705 R dated 16th Sept^r 03 praying for a special gratuity or pension.

Petitioner is informed that the codal rules do not allow the grant to her of any pension or gratuity for services rendered by her late husband and that there are no grounds for special treatment.

By Order,

Secretary.

1/2/04